

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/702,439	KAMATA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin M. Bernatz	1773

All Participants:

(1) Kevin M. Bernatz.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(2) Qingyu Yin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 January 2006

Time: AM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 2nd of claim 4

Claims discussed:

4,11-21

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner indicated that amendment of claim 4 to remove the word "fine" and cancellation of the non-elected claims would place the case into condition for allowance. Applicants agreed to the proposed changes.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)